Quantum Phase Liquids - Fermionic Superfluid without Phase Coherence

Ya-Jie Wu,[1] Jiang Zhou,[1] and Su-Peng Kou[1,*]

[1]*Department of Physics, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China*

We investigate the two dimensional generalized attractive Hubbard model in a bipartite lattice, and find a "quantum phase liquid" phase, in which the fermions are paired but don't have phase coherence at zero temperature, in analogy to quantum spin liquid phase. Then, two types of topological quantum phase liquids with a small external magnetic field–Z_2 quantum phase liquids and chiral quantum phase liquids–are discussed.

arXiv:1401.2498v2 [cond-mat.str-el] 15 Jan 2014

I. INTRODUCTION

In condensed matter physics, Landau's symmetry breaking paradigm had been considered as the foundation to learn all types of orders. Different (quantum) states are characterized by different symmetries and the associated local order parameters. However, in last 20 years, it became more and more clear that Landau's paradigm cannot describe all quantum states of the matter. The first example beyond Landau's paradigm is the fractional quantum Hall (FQH) effect[1]. The FQH states possess exotic topological orders and cannot be described by symmetry breaking theory. The subtle structures that distinguish different FQH states are called *topological order*[2–4]. Another example is the quantum spin liquid, which is an intriguing possibility for a strongly interacting magnetic system where the magnetically ordered ground state is avoided owing to strong quantum fluctuations. The subtle structures that distinguish different quantum spin liquid states are called *quantum order*[2, 5, 6]. In the projective space construction of spin liquid with the same (global) symmetry, there exist many kinds of quantum spin liquids with different low energy gauge structures (SU(2), U(1), Z_2...). To distinguish different quantum spin liquids, the projective space symmetry group (PSG) is proposed[2, 5, 6]. People have tried to find such exotic quantum states in frustrated spin systems for more than twenty years[7]. For example, the two-dimensional (2D) J_1-J_2 model is a typical frustrated Heisenberg model on a square lattice. Different methods show a similar result - a quantum disordered ground state appearing around $J_2/J_1 \sim 0.5$. However, the nature of the quantum disordered ground state is still much debated[8–13].

In this paper, we find that the fermionic superfluid (SF) may lose long range phase coherence due to quite strong quantum fluctuations. The strongly fluctuating fermionic SF possesses exotic quantum orders and also cannot be described by Landau's symmetry breaking theory. We call it *quantum phase liquid* (QPL). In the QPL, the fermions are paired and single quasi-particle's excitation has a finite energy gap. However, the strong quantum fluctuations destroy the long range phase coherence and the fermionic SF order parameter is still zero. Namely, QPL state is a fermionic SF without phase coherence, and the excitations may be doublons or holons that correspond to the quantum states with the particle number 2 or 0 on each site, respectively. The single quasi-particle excitations corresponding to the quantum states with the particle number 1 on each site are forbidden. It is found that physical properties of topological quantum phase liquids are quite different from those of topological quantum spin liquids–the external magnetic field induces a gas of topological excitations which will condense at zero temperature in a topological QPL.

The paper is organized as follows: In Sec. II we introduce the two dimensional generalized attractive Hubbard model in a bipartite lattice, and discuss the SU(2) pseudo-spin symmetry of the model. In Sec. III we introduce projective space construction of QPLs in analogy to quantum spin liquids. In Sec. IV we mainly study two types of topological QPLs with a small external magnetic field. The first one is Z_2 topological QPLs with the small external magnetic field studied in Sec. IV A, and the other is the topological chiral QPLs with the small external magnetic field investigated in Sec. IV B. Finally, in Sec. V, we conclude our discussions.

II. THE GENERALIZED ATTRACTIVE HUBBARD MODEL AT LARGE-U LIMIT

Our starting point is the two dimensional generalized attractive Hubbard model in a bipartite lattice (for example, the honeycomb lattice and the square lattice), of which the Hamiltonian is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{H} = &-\sum_{i\in A, j\in B}\left(t_{ij}\hat{c}^{\dagger}_{i,\uparrow}\hat{c}_{j,\uparrow} + t^{*}_{ij}\hat{c}^{\dagger}_{i,\downarrow}\hat{c}_{j,\downarrow} + \text{H.c.}\right)\\ &-\sum_{i,j\in A/B}\left(t'_{ij}\hat{c}^{\dagger}_{i,\uparrow}\hat{c}_{j,\uparrow} - t'^{*}_{ij}\hat{c}^{\dagger}_{i,\downarrow}\hat{c}_{j,\downarrow} + \text{H.c.}\right) - U\sum_{i}\hat{n}_{i\uparrow}\hat{n}_{i\downarrow}\\ &-\mu\sum_{i,\sigma}\hat{c}^{\dagger}_{i\sigma}\hat{c}_{i\sigma} - h\sum_{i}\left(\hat{c}^{\dagger}_{i,\uparrow}\hat{c}_{i,\uparrow} - \hat{c}^{\dagger}_{i,\downarrow}\hat{c}_{i,\downarrow}\right). \qquad (1)\end{aligned}$$

Here, $i = (i_x, i_y)$ labels the lattice sites, A and B denote A-sublattices and B-sublattices, $\sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow$ are spin-indices, t_{ij} are hopping parameters between the sites on different sublattices, t'_{ij} are hopping parameters between the site on same sublattices, U is the strength of the attractive interaction, μ is the chemical potential, and h is the

*Corresponding author; Electronic address: spkou@bnu.edu.cn

strength of the Zeeman field. In the following parts, we consider the case with $\mu = -U/2$, and set the lattice constant to be unity.

Let's discuss the global symmetry and the spontaneously symmetry breaking of the original Hamiltonian in Eq.(1). The Hamiltonian in Eq.(1) has an SU(2) particle-hole (pseudo-spin) symmetry group when $\mu = -U/2$, in which the SU(2) group elements act on the space of the SF/CDW order parameters. To make the SU(2) pseudo-spin symmetry more clear, we note that in terms of the canonical particle-hole transformation[14]

$$\hat{c}_{i,\uparrow} \to \tilde{c}_{i,\uparrow},\ \hat{c}_{i,\downarrow} \to (-1)^{i_x+i_y}\tilde{c}^{\dagger}_{i,\downarrow},$$

the original model is mapped onto a repulsive Hubbard model with the effective chemical potential $\tilde{\mu} = h + U/2$ and effective Zeeman field $\tilde{h} = \mu + U/2$ as $\hat{H} \to \tilde{H}$, where

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{H} = &- \sum_{i\in A, j\in B} t_{ij}\tilde{c}^{\dagger}_{i,\sigma}\tilde{c}_{j,\sigma} - \sum_{i,j\in A/B} t'_{ij}\tilde{c}^{\dagger}_{i,\sigma}\tilde{c}_{j,\sigma} + \text{H.c.} \\ &- \tilde{h}\sum_{i,\alpha,\beta}\tilde{c}^{\dagger}_{i,\alpha}\sigma^{z}_{\alpha\beta}\tilde{c}_{i,\beta} - \tilde{\mu}\sum_{i,\sigma}\tilde{n}_{i,\sigma} + U\sum_{i}\tilde{n}_{i,\uparrow}\tilde{n}_{i,\downarrow}. \quad (2)\end{aligned}$$

Note that we have omitted the constant term $-(\mu - h)N$ with N the total lattice sites number in Hamiltonian $\tilde{H}$. Then, for the case of $\tilde{h} = \mu + U/2 = 0$, i.e., $\mu = -U/2$, we can define an SU(2) pseudo-spin symmetry of the Hamiltonian in Eq.(1), i.e.,

$$\hat{H} \to \hat{H}' = \mathcal{U}\tilde{H}\mathcal{U}^{-1} = \tilde{H} \quad (3)$$

by doing a pseudo-spin rotation

$$\Psi \to \Psi' = \mathcal{U}\Psi, \quad (4)$$

with $\Psi = (\tilde{c}_{i,\uparrow}, \tilde{c}_{i,\downarrow})^T$. The SU(2) pseudo-spin operators of the attractive Hubbard model thus become

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\eta}^{-} \leftrightarrow (-1)^{i_x+i_y}\hat{\Delta}_i = (-1)^{i_x+i_y}\hat{c}_{i,\downarrow}\hat{c}_{i,\uparrow}, \\ \hat{\eta}^{+} \leftrightarrow (-1)^{i_x+i_y}\hat{\Delta}^{\dagger}_i = (-1)^{i_x+i_y}\hat{c}^{\dagger}_{i,\uparrow}\hat{c}^{\dagger}_{i,\downarrow}, \\ \hat{\eta}^{z} \leftrightarrow (\hat{\rho}_i - 1)/2, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $\hat{\eta}^{\pm} = \hat{\eta}^x \pm i\hat{\eta}^y$, $\hat{\rho}_i$ is the particle density operator, and the SU(2) algebraic relation between the SU(2) pseudo-spin operators is $[\hat{\eta}^{\alpha}, \hat{\eta}^{\beta}] = i\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\hat{\eta}^{\gamma}$. The ground state with $\langle\hat{\eta}^{+}\rangle \neq 0$ or $\langle\hat{\eta}^{-}\rangle \neq 0$ is an SF state and the ground state with $\langle\hat{\eta}^{z}\rangle \neq 0$ is a charge density wave (CDW) state.

For the generalized attractive Hubbard model described by Eq.(1), with increasing the interaction strength, the ground state turns into a paired state. At the large-U limit, such paired state has a large energy gap about U. For above generalized attractive Hubbard model with SU(2) particle-hole (pseudo-spin) symmetry, by integrating out the hopping terms, we derive an effective pseudo-spin model with the super-exchange terms

$$\begin{aligned}H_s &= \sum_{i\in A, j\in B} J_{ij}\hat{\eta}_i\cdot\hat{\eta}_j + \sum_{i,j\in A/B} J'_{ij}\hat{\eta}_i\cdot\hat{\eta}_j \\ &= \sum_{i\in A, j\in B} J_{ij}\left(\hat{\eta}^x_i\hat{\eta}^x_j + \hat{\eta}^y_i\hat{\eta}^y_j + \hat{\eta}^z_i\hat{\eta}^z_j\right) \\ &+ \sum_{i,j\in A/B} J'_{ij}\left(\hat{\eta}^x_i\hat{\eta}^x_j + \hat{\eta}^y_i\hat{\eta}^y_j + \hat{\eta}^z_i\hat{\eta}^z_j\right), \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where the super-exchange coupling constants between different and same sublattices are $J_{ij} = 4\left|t_{ij}\right|^2/U$ and $J'_{ij} = 4\left|t'_{ij}\right|^2/U$, respectively. One can see that H_s is really a frustrated pseudo-spin model.

For a conventional SF order with spontaneous U(1) phase symmetry breaking, there exists one Goldstone mode with respect to the quantum phase fluctuation. In two dimensions, there exists a Kosterlitz-Thouless (KT) transition, below which the (quasi) long range phase coherence establishes. Now we have an SF/CDW order with spontaneously SU(2) pseudo-spin rotation symmetry breaking. Hence, the quantum fluctuations around the mean field ground state are much stronger. In the CDW phase, one has a nonzero particle density modulation at different sublattices $\langle\hat{\eta}^z\rangle \neq 0$. According to the commutation relation between the phase ϕ_i of Δ_i and the particle density operator $\hat{\rho}_i$, i.e., $[\phi_i, \hat{\rho}_i] \neq 0$, the nonzero particle density modulation leads to an uncertainty for the SF phase coherence and even destroys the long range SF phase coherence. Thus, the non-zero value of $\Delta_0 = |\Delta_i|$ only means the existence of Cooper pairing. It does not necessarily imply that the ground state is a long range SF order. As a result, one needs to examine the stability of the SF order against quantum fluctuations based on a formulation by keeping SU(2) pseudo-spin rotation symmetry.

III. PROJECTIVE SPACE CONSTRUCTION OF QPLS

For the frustrated pseudo-spin model H_s, the SF may possesses exotic quantum orders and also cannot be described by Landau's symmetry breaking theory. We call it QPL, of which the fermions are paired and single quasiparticle's excitation has a finite energy gap. However, we don't have long range SF phase coherence and the SF order parameter is zero, i.e., $\langle\hat{c}^{\dagger}_{i\uparrow}\hat{c}^{\dagger}_{i\downarrow}\rangle = 0$. Thus in this region, the SF correlation decays exponentially $\langle\Delta^*(x,y)\Delta(0)\rangle \to 0$. The particle number must be 2 or 0 on each site that correspond to doublon or holon, respectively. See the illustration in Fig.(1). In this section, we are going to use the projective space construction(PSG) to construct quantum phase liquids by the $SU(2)$ slave-boson approach[2, 6]. The gauge structure here is just that of the quantum spin liquid. The pseudo-spin operator $\hat{\eta}_i$ is now represented as

$$\hat{\eta}_i = \frac{1}{2}\tilde{f}^{\dagger}_{i\alpha}\sigma_{\alpha\beta}\tilde{f}_{i\beta} \quad (7)$$



FIG. 1: The illustration of the quantum phase liquid. The two-red-circle represents doublon, and the white-circle represents holon.

where $\tilde{f}$ denotes pseudo spinon. In terms of the fermion operators the Hamiltonian H_s can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} H_s &= \sum_{i\in A,j\in B} J_{ij}\hat{\eta}_i\cdot\hat{\eta}_j + \sum_{i,j\in A/B} J'_{ij}\hat{\eta}_i\cdot\hat{\eta}_j \qquad (8)\\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i\in A,j\in B} J_{ij}\tilde{f}^\dagger_{j\alpha}\tilde{f}_{i\alpha}\tilde{f}^\dagger_{i\beta}\tilde{f}_{j\beta}\\ &- \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j\in A/B} J'_{ij}\tilde{f}^\dagger_{j\alpha}\tilde{f}_{i\alpha}\tilde{f}^\dagger_{i\beta}\tilde{f}_{j\beta} \end{aligned}$$

with the constraints $\tilde{f}^\dagger_{i\alpha}\tilde{f}_{i\alpha} = 1$ and $\tilde{f}_{i\alpha}\tilde{f}_{i\beta}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta} = 0$. Such constraints can be enforced by including the site-dependent and the time-independent Lagrangian multipliers: $a^3_0(i)(\tilde{f}^\dagger_{i\alpha}\tilde{f}_{i\alpha}-1)$, $(a^1_0+ia^2_0)\tilde{f}_{i\alpha}\tilde{f}_{i\beta}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}$ in the Hamiltonian.

Replacing the operators $\tilde{f}_{i\alpha}\tilde{f}_{j\beta}$ and $\tilde{f}^\dagger_{i\alpha}\tilde{f}_{j\beta}$ by their mean field values

$$\Delta_{ij} = -2\left\langle\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}\tilde{f}_{i\alpha}\tilde{f}_{j\beta}\right\rangle,\ \chi_{ij} = 2\left\langle\delta_{\alpha\beta}\tilde{f}^\dagger_{i\alpha}\tilde{f}_{j\beta}\right\rangle, \qquad (9)$$

we arrive at the mean-field Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\rm mean} &= -\frac{3}{8}\sum_{i\in A,j\in B} J_{ij}(\chi_{ji}\tilde{f}^\dagger_{i\alpha}\tilde{f}_{j\alpha} + \Delta_{ij}\tilde{f}^\dagger_{i\alpha}\tilde{f}^\dagger_{j\beta}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta} + \text{H.c.})\\ &- \frac{3}{8}\sum_{i,j\in A/B} J'_{ij}(\chi_{ji}\tilde{f}^\dagger_{i\alpha}\tilde{f}_{j\alpha} + \Delta_{ij}\tilde{f}^\dagger_{i\alpha}\tilde{f}^\dagger_{j\beta}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta} + \text{H.c.})\\ &+ \frac{3}{8}\sum_{i\in A,j\in B} J_{ij}\left(|\chi_{ij}|^2 + |\Delta_{ij}|^2\right)\\ &+ \frac{3}{8}\sum_{i,j\in A/B} J_{ij}\left(|\chi_{ij}|^2 + |\Delta_{ij}|^2\right)\\ &+ \sum_i\{a^3_0(\tilde{f}^\dagger_{i\alpha}\tilde{f}_{i\alpha}-1) + [(a^1_0+ia^2_0)\tilde{f}_{i\alpha}\tilde{f}_{i\beta}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta} + \text{H.c.}]\}, \end{aligned} \qquad (10)$$

where χ_{ij}, Δ_{ij} and a^l_0 can be derived by the mean-field approach for a given QPL. Let $|\Psi_{\rm mean}\rangle$ be the ground state of $H_{\rm mean}$. Then a many-body state can be obtained from the mean-field state $|\Psi_{\rm mean}\rangle$ by projecting $|\Psi\rangle = \mathcal{P}|\Psi_{\rm mean}\rangle$ into the subspace with a single occupation.

In addition, we introduce $SU(2)$ doublet

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} f_1\\ f_2\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{f}_\uparrow\\ \tilde{f}^\dagger_\downarrow\end{pmatrix} \qquad (11)$$

and the matrix

$$U_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} \chi^\dagger_{ij} & \Delta_{ij}\\ \Delta^\dagger_{ij} & -\chi_{ij}\end{pmatrix} = U^\dagger_{ji}. \qquad (12)$$

Using them, we can rewrite the mean field Hamiltonian of the QPL and the constraints into more compact formula as

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\rm mean} &= \frac{3}{8}\sum_{i\in A,j\in B} J_{ij}[\frac{1}{2}{\rm Tr}(U^\dagger_{ij}U_{ij}) - (\psi^\dagger_i U_{ij}\psi_j + \text{H.c.})]\\ &+ \frac{3}{8}\sum_{i,j\in A/B} J'_{ij}[\frac{1}{2}{\rm Tr}(U^\dagger_{ij}U_{ij}) - (\psi^\dagger_i U_{ij}\psi_j + \text{H.c.})]\\ &+ \sum_i a^l_0\psi^\dagger_i\tau^l\psi_i \end{aligned} \qquad (13)$$

and

$$\left\langle\psi^\dagger_i\tau^l\psi_i\right\rangle = 0, \qquad (14)$$

where τ^l are the Pauli matrices with $l = 1, 2, 3$.

Similar to the quantum spin liquids, the mean field Hamiltonian $H_{\rm mean}$ of QPL is invariant under a local $SU(2)$ transformation $W(i)$[2, 6]:

$$\psi_i \to W(i)\psi_i,\ U_{ij} \to W(i)U_{ij}W^\dagger(j). \qquad (15)$$

In particular, two mean-field ansatzs that have different (U_{ij}, a^l_0) and (U'_{ij}, a'^l_0) may be the same QPL by an SU(2) gauge transformation. To understand the gauge fluctuations around the above mean-field state of a QPL, we may observe the non-trivial SU(2) flux through plaquettes. These fluxes may break SU(2) gauge structure down to U(1) or Z_2 gauge structures and play the role of the Higgs fields. Thus we may characterize different quantum phase liquids by different PSGs and different gauge structures as people understand the quantum spin liquids.

IV. TOPOLOGICAL QPLS WITH AN EXTERNAL MAGNETIC FIELD

Now we consider the generalized attractive Hubbard model with an external magnetic field, of which the Hamiltonian becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H} &= -\sum_{i\in A,j\in B} e^{i(\theta_i-\theta_j)}\left(t_{ij}\hat{c}^\dagger_{i,\uparrow}\hat{c}_{j,\uparrow} + t^*_{ij}\hat{c}^\dagger_{i,\downarrow}\hat{c}_{j,\downarrow}\right)\\ &- \sum_{i,j\in A/B} e^{i(\theta_i-\theta_j)}\left(t'_{ij}\hat{c}^\dagger_{i,\uparrow}\hat{c}_{j,\uparrow} - t'^*_{ij}\hat{c}^\dagger_{i,\downarrow}\hat{c}_{j,\downarrow}\right) - \mu\sum_{i,\sigma}\hat{n}_{i\sigma}\\ &- U\sum_i\hat{n}_{i\uparrow}\hat{n}_{i\downarrow} - h\sum_i\left(\hat{c}^\dagger_{i,\uparrow}\hat{c}_{i,\uparrow} - \hat{c}^\dagger_{i,\downarrow}\hat{c}_{i,\downarrow}\right) + \text{H.c.} \end{aligned} \qquad (16)$$

where the spatial variation of the phase $e^{i(\theta_i-\theta_j)}$ can be interpreted as an effective *Aharonov-Bohm* phase induced by the external magnetic field. With the choice of the symmetric gauge, we can define the flux ratio $\alpha = \Phi/\Phi_0$ with Φ the magnetic flux through a plaquette, and $\Phi_0 = h/(2e)$ the half of flux quantum. The sum of the phases along a closed loop surrounding the plaquette is $\theta_i' - \theta_i = \pi\alpha$, which is actually α π-flux per plaquette. The strength of the external magnetic field is $B = \pi\alpha/S_0$, where S_0 is the area of a plaquette. In this paper we only consider the limit of a small external field, $B \to 0$ ($\alpha \ll 1$).

At the large-U limit, the generalized attractive Hubbard model with an external magnetic field turns into an effective pseudo-spin model with external magnetic field, of which the Hamiltonian is reduced into

$$\begin{aligned} H_s = J_{ij} \sum_{i\in A, j\in B} (e^{i2(\theta_i-\theta_j)}\eta_i^+\eta_j^- + e^{-i2(\theta_i-\theta_j)}\eta_i^-\eta_j^+) \\ + \sum_{i\in A, j\in B} J_{ij}\eta_i^z\eta_j^z + J'_{ij} \sum_{i,j\in A/B} (e^{i2(\theta_i-\theta_j)}\eta_i^+\eta_j^- \\ + e^{-i2(\theta_i-\theta_j)}\eta_i^-\eta_j^+) + \sum_{i,j\in A/B} J'_{ij}\eta_i^z\eta_j^z. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Note that in above equation we have used the definition of the SU(2) pseudo-spin operators

$$\begin{aligned} \hat\eta^- = (-1)^{i_x+i_y}\,\hat c_{i,\downarrow}\hat c_{i,\uparrow},\ \hat\eta^+ = (-1)^{i_x+i_y}\,\hat c^\dagger_{i,\uparrow}\hat c^\dagger_{i,\downarrow}, \\ \hat\eta^z = (\hat\rho_i - 1)/2. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Then, we find that the mean-field Hamiltonian of slave-particles becomes

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\rm mean} \to H'_{\rm mean} = -\frac{3}{8}\sum_{i\in A, j\in B} J_{ij}(\chi_{ji}e^{2i(\theta_i-\theta_j)}\tilde f^\dagger_{i\alpha}\tilde f_{j\alpha} \\ + \Delta_{ij}e^{2i(\theta_i+\theta_j)}\tilde f^\dagger_{i\alpha}\tilde f^\dagger_{j\beta}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}) \\ - \frac{3}{8}\sum_{i,j\in A/B} J'_{ij}(\chi_{ji}e^{2i(\theta_i-\theta_j)}\tilde f^\dagger_{i\alpha}\tilde f_{j\alpha} \\ + \Delta_{ij}e^{2i(\theta_i+\theta_j)}\tilde f^\dagger_{i\alpha}\tilde f^\dagger_{j\beta}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}) + \frac{3}{8}\sum_{i\in A, j\in B}\left(|\chi_{ij}|^2 + |\Delta_{ij}|^2\right) \\ + \frac{3}{8}\sum_{i,j\in A/B}\left(|\chi_{ij}|^2 + |\Delta_{ij}|^2\right) + \sum_i\{a_0^3(\tilde f^\dagger_{i\alpha}\tilde f_{i\alpha} - 1) \\ + [(a_0^1 + ia_0^2)\tilde f_{i\alpha}\tilde f_{i\beta}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}]\} + \text{H.c.}. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

From this effective Hamiltonian of the slave-particles, it can be seen that the slave-particles $\tilde f_{i\alpha}$ see 2α π-flux per plaquette. While, for the quantum spin liquid, the situation is much different: the external magnetic field never changes the effective spin model and the mean-field Hamiltonian of slave-particles. Since quantum phase liquids with topological order are protected by the finite energy gaps of excitations, they are stable against arbitrary local perturbations[2–4]. As a result, for the topological phase liquids, we can assume that the small external magnetic field will not change their mean field ansatz and the corresponding PSG of the topological order. Hence, for the topological phase liquids, since the mean-field Hamiltonian of slave-particles in Eq.(13) changes the original PSG, it is not quite right. For this case, the external magnetic field will induce quantized vortices. In the topological phase liquids in a lattice, the induced vortex must be π-flux and the density of the induced quantized vortices ρ_v is BS_0/π. See Fig.(2). The true effective Hamiltonian of the topological phase liquids with a finite external magnetic field is given by



FIG. 2: The illustration of the induced π-flux by external field in the topological quantum phase liquid: the uniform magnetic flux in each plaquette turns into a gas of π-flux.

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\rm mean} \to H'_{\rm mean} \\ = -\frac{3}{8}\sum_{i\in A, j\in B} J_{ij}(\chi_{ji}\tilde f^\dagger_{i\alpha}\tilde f_{j\alpha} + \Delta_{ij}\tilde f^\dagger_{i\alpha}\tilde f^\dagger_{j\beta}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta} + \text{H.c.}) \\ + \frac{3}{8}\sum_{i\in A, j\in B} J_{ij}\left(|\chi_{ij}|^2 + |\Delta_{ij}|^2\right) \\ - \frac{3}{8}\sum_{i,j\in A/B} J'_{ij}(\chi_{ji}\tilde f^\dagger_{i\alpha}\tilde f_{j\alpha} + \Delta_{ij}\tilde f^\dagger_{i\alpha}\tilde f^\dagger_{j\beta}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta} + \text{H.c.}) \\ + \frac{3}{8}\sum_{i,j\in A/B} J_{ij}\left(|\chi_{ij}|^2 + |\Delta_{ij}|^2\right) \\ + \sum_i\{a_0^3(\tilde f^\dagger_{i\alpha}\tilde f_{i\alpha} - 1) + [(a_0^1 + ia_0^2)\tilde f_{i\alpha}\tilde f_{i\beta}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}] + \text{H.c.}\} \\ + H_{\rm vortex}, \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

where $H_{\rm vortex}$ is the Hamiltonian of the induced quantized vortices (π-flux). In the following parts we will discuss two kinds of topological phase liquids with a finite density of quantized vortices.

A. Z_2 topological QPLs with a small external magnetic field

In this section we will discuss Z_2 topological QPLs with a small external magnetic field. Z_2 topological QPLs have the simplest topological order - Z_2 topological order[15, 16], of which the ground-state has topological degeneracy[16, 17]. The low energy effective theory for those Z_2 topologically ordered states is a Z_2 gauge theory with mutual π statistics between quasi-particles, the

fermions and the bosonic Z_2-vortices (quantized vortices with π-flux on a plaquette). That means the fermions will obtain π phase after moving around Z_2-vortex. For the Z_2 topological QPLs with a small external magnetic field, there exist the induced Z_2-vortices with the density B/π (Here and in the following, we assume a plaquette area $S_0 \equiv 1$).

It has been pointed out that the continuum effective theory of two dimensional Z_2 gauge theory is the U(1)×U(1) mutual Chern-Simons (MCS) theory[18, 19]. In the U(1)× U(1) MCS theory, there are two types of auxiliary gauge fields A_μ and a_μ coupled to Z_2 vortices and fermions respectively. A mutual Chern-Simons term is introduced between A_μ and a_μ as follows,

$$\mathcal{L}_{MCS} = \frac{i}{\pi}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}A_\mu\partial_\nu a_\lambda. \tag{21}$$

Including the induced Z_2-vortex by the external magnetic fluxes, the effective continuum theory of the Z_2 topological QPLs becomes

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = \mathcal{L}_f + \mathcal{L}_v + \mathcal{L}_{MCS}, \tag{22}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_f$ is the effective lagrangian of the fermions and $\mathcal{L}_v$ is the Lagrangian of Z_2-vortex

$$\mathcal{L}_v = \varphi^*\left[\partial_0 - ia_0 - \mu_v\right]\varphi + \varphi^*\frac{\left(-i\partial_\alpha - a_\alpha\right)^2}{2m_v}\varphi. \tag{23}$$

Here, φ is the field of the bosonic Z_2-vortices, and m_v is the mass of Z_2-vortices.

For the dilute gas of the bosonic Z_2-vortices, the ground state becomes a Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC) state with

$$\langle 0|\varphi_{\mathbf{k}=0}|0\rangle = \sqrt{\rho_v}e^{i\phi} \neq 0. \tag{24}$$

Here, $|0\rangle$ denotes the ground state. Thus the ground state spontaneously breaks both U(1)× U(1) gauge symmetry. With $\langle\varphi\rangle \neq 0$, $\mathcal{L}_v$ in (23) reduces to

$$\mathcal{L}_v = i\rho_v(\partial_0\phi - a_0 + i\mu_v) + \frac{\rho_v}{2m_v}\left(\nabla\phi - \mathbf{a}\right)^2 \tag{25}$$

by writing $\varphi(\mathbf{r}) = \sqrt{\rho_v}e^{i\phi(\mathbf{r})}$. Then the mutual Chern-Simons term (23) can be rewritten as

$$\mathcal{L}_{MCS} = -\frac{i}{\pi}\mathbf{a}\cdot(\mathbf{E}\times\hat{\mathbf{z}}) + \frac{i}{\pi}a_0\mathbf{B}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{z}} \tag{26}$$

by introducing the "electric" field $\mathbf{E} = \partial_0\mathbf{A} - \nabla A_0$ and "magnetic" field $\mathbf{B} = \nabla\times\mathbf{A}$ for the vector potential $\mathbf{A}$.

First, integrating out the gauge field a_0, one obtains the condition

$$B \equiv B^z = \mathbf{B}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{z}} = \pi\rho_v$$

which is uniform and fixes the spatial component $\mathbf{A}$, such that $\mathbf{E} = -\nabla A_0$. After integrating out $\mathbf{a}$, the resulting effective Lagrangian takes the following form

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{f}} + (\frac{m_v}{2\pi B})\left|\mathbf{E}\right|^2 - \frac{i}{\pi}\epsilon^{0\nu\lambda}A_0\partial_\nu\partial_\lambda\phi + \frac{\rho_v}{2m_v}\left(\nabla\phi\right)^2 - \mu_v\rho_v. \tag{27}$$

Next, we integrate out A_0 in Eq.(27) and obtains the following effective action in (2+1)-dimensional Euclidean space[18]

$$S_{\text{eff}} = \int d^3x_\mu\left[\mathcal{L}_f\left(a_0 = 0\right)\right] + \int dx_0 V_{\text{SC}},$$

where

$$V_{\text{SC}} = q_s^2\int d^2\mathbf{r}d^2\mathbf{r}'\ln|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|\left[\rho_f(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{1}{\pi}\epsilon^{0\nu\lambda}\partial_\nu\partial_\lambda\phi(\mathbf{r})\right] \times\left[\rho_f(\mathbf{r}') + \frac{1}{\pi}\epsilon^{0\nu\lambda}\partial_\nu\partial_\lambda\phi(\mathbf{r}')\right] \tag{28}$$

with $q_s^2 = \frac{\pi\rho_v}{4m_v}$. $\rho_f(\mathbf{r})$ denotes the density of the fermionic spinons. So, there exists a Kosterlitz-Thouless (KT) transition temperature[20, 21]

$$k_B T_{\text{KT}} \simeq \frac{q_s^2}{4} = \frac{\pi\rho_v}{16m_v}. \tag{29}$$

When the temperature is lower than T_{KT}, the fermionic spinons are confined; when the temperature is higher than T_{KT}, the fermionic spinons can be free.

In particular, the BEC state of the Z_2-vortices is a super-solid state. Let us calculate the "charge" conductance σ_c of the system. The origin of the dissipation in this BEC state is the flow of the Z_2-vortices as respondence to an external "electric" field. Using the relation between vortex conductance σ_v and and "charge" conductance σ_c[18, 22, 23]:

$$\sigma_v\sigma_c = \frac{1}{\pi^2}, \tag{30}$$

we can find that the zero vortex conductance $\sigma_v = \infty$ leads to a diverge "charge" conductance $\sigma_c \to 0$. That means Z_2 topological QPLs with a small external magnetic field is really an insulating super-solid state. In addition, there exists anomalous Nernst effect. The Nernst effect refers to a transverse "electric" field induced by applying a temperature gradient on the system[24]. The mechanism leading to a significant Nernst signal is the Z_2-vortex-flow in the topological QPL phase. In the BEC state of the Z_2-vortices, the Nernst signal will also diverge.

B. Topological chiral QPLs with a small external magnetic field

Another topological QPL is chiral phase liquid (CPL) breaking time reversal symmetry, of which the elementary excitations are anyons with fractional statistics [25].

To calculate the topological invariants in momentum space of the topological chiral phase liquid, the above formulation in Eq.(2) is reduced into,

$$H_{\rm mean} = \sum_k \psi_k^\dagger [\mathbf{u}(k)\cdot\tau]\psi_k + h.c. \tag{31}$$

where $\psi_k = (\ \tilde{f}_{1,k}\ \ \tilde{f}_{2,k}\ )^T$ and $\hat{\mathbf{u}}(k) = \mathbf{u}/|\mathbf{u}|$ is the unit vector in the momentum space. By introducing the Chern number

$$\mathcal{C} = \frac{1}{4\pi}\int dk_x dk_y\ \hat{\mathbf{u}}\cdot(\frac{\partial\hat{\mathbf{u}}}{\partial k_x}\times\frac{\partial\hat{\mathbf{u}}}{\partial k_y}), \tag{32}$$

we may has a topological CPL with the non-zero Chern number $\mathcal{C}$. The low energy effective theory for the the topological chiral phase liquid is the Chern-Simons (CS) theory[26, 27]

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm CS} = \frac{i\mathcal{C}N}{4\pi}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}a_\mu\partial_\nu a_\lambda \tag{33}$$

where N is the flavor number of the fermionic spinons. Here a_μ is the the auxiliary U(1) gauge fields. Another important property of CPL is the non-zero chiral order parameter which is defined by[25]

$$\chi_{\langle 123\rangle} = \langle\eta_1\cdot(\eta_2\times\eta_3)\rangle \neq 0. \tag{34}$$

For the topological CPL with a small external magnetic field, there also exist the induced vortices with the density B/π. In the CPL, each quantized vortex carries the "charge" number $\mathcal{C}N/2$ due to the Chern-Simon term $\mathcal{L}_{\rm CS}$. Consequently, a quantized vortex becomes an anyon. To calculate the many-body system of anyons, we will use a dual description where we introduce a U(1) gauge field b_μ to describe the density j^0 and current j^i of the bosonic field φ denoting anyons: $j^\mu = \frac{i}{2\pi}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\partial_\nu b_\lambda$. Now the effective continuum Lagrangian of the topological CPL with a small external magnetic field turns into

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm eff} = \mathcal{L}_v + \mathcal{L}_f + \mathcal{L}_{\rm CS} + \frac{i}{2\pi}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}b_\mu\partial_\nu a_\lambda, \tag{35}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_f$ is the effective lagrangian of the fermions coupling to a_λ, and $\mathcal{L}_v$ is the Lagrangian of anyons

$$\mathcal{L}_v = \varphi^* D_0\varphi + \frac{|D\varphi|^2}{2m_v} - \mu_v\varphi^*\varphi. \tag{36}$$

Here, the bosonic field φ denotes anyons that couple to both a_ν and b_ν, $D_\nu = \partial_\nu - ia_\nu/2 - ib_\nu$ is the covariant derivative. m_v is the mass of anyons.

The ground state of the dilute anyon gas is really an anyon superfluids. To obtain the universal features from the anyon superfluid, we define

$$a_{+,\mu} = b_\mu + \frac{a_\mu}{2},\ a_{-,\mu} = b_\mu - \frac{a_\mu}{2}$$

(or $a_\mu = a_{+,\mu} - a_{-,\mu}$, $b_\mu = (a_{+,\mu} + a_{-,\mu})/2$), and rewrite the effective lagrangian as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{\rm eff} = \mathcal{L}_f &+ \varphi^* D_0\varphi + \frac{|D\varphi|^2}{2m_v} + \mu_v\varphi^*\varphi \\ &+ \frac{i(\mathcal{C}N+1)}{4\pi}a_{+,\mu}\partial_\nu a_{+,\lambda}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} \\ &+ \frac{i(\mathcal{C}N-1)}{4\pi}a_{-,\mu}\partial_\nu a_{-,\lambda}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} - \frac{i\mathcal{C}N}{2\pi}a_{+,\mu}\partial_\nu a_{-,\lambda}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}.\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

At zero temperature, with $\langle\varphi\rangle = \sqrt{\rho_v}e^{i\phi(\mathbf{r})} \neq 0$, we get

$$\mathcal{L}_v = i\rho_v(\partial_0\phi - a_{+,0} - \mu_v) + \frac{\rho_v}{2m_v}\left(\nabla\phi - \mathbf{a}_+\right)^2. \tag{38}$$

After integrating $a_{+,\mu}$[28], we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{\rm eff} = \mathcal{L}_{\rm f} &+ \frac{i\left(\mathcal{C}N-1\right)}{4\pi}a_{-,\mu}\partial_\nu a_{-,\lambda}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} + \frac{\rho_v}{2m_v}\left(\nabla\phi\right)^2 \\ &+ \frac{m_v\mathcal{C}N}{4\pi B^c}|\mathbf{E}_-|^2 - \frac{i\mathcal{C}N}{2\pi}\epsilon^{0\nu\lambda}a_{0,-}\partial_v\partial_\lambda\phi - \mu_v\rho_v\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

where $\mathbf{E}_- = \partial_0\mathbf{a}_- - \nabla a_{-,0}$ and $B^c = 2\pi\rho_v/\mathcal{C}N$.

When $\mathcal{C}N = 1$, the situation is similar to that of Z_2 topological phase liquids. There exists a Kosterlitz-Thouless (KT) transition temperature[20, 21]

$$k_B T_{\rm KT} \simeq \frac{q_s^2}{4} = \frac{\pi\rho_v}{16m_v}. \tag{40}$$

When the temperature is lower than $T_{\rm KT}$, the fermionic spinons are confined, and when the temperature is higher than $T_{\rm KT}$, the fermionic spinons can be free. When $\mathcal{C}N \neq 1$, the gauge field has an energy gap, which implies that there is no phase transition at finite temperature and the fermionic spinons are deconfined at all temperature.

V. CONCLUSION

We have studied the two dimensional generalized attractive Hubbard model in a bipartite lattice, and by particle-hole transformation, we find the model has SU(2) pseudo spin rotation symmetry when the chemical potential $\mu = U/2$. With a further analysis, we observe that the nonzero particle density modulation leads to an uncertainty for the SF phase coherence, and even destroys the long range SF phase coherence, which corresponds to the "quantum phase liquid". In analogy to quantum spin liquid, we make a projective space construction of quantum phase liquids by the SU(2) slave-boson approach. Then, we have shown two types of topological phase liquids with a small external magnetic field - Z_2 QPLs and CPLs.

In the end, we show the comparison between the quantum spin liquid and quantum phase liquid in Table. (1).

TABLE I: The comparison between quantum spin liquid (QSL) and quantum phase liquid (QPL)

	Definition	Symmetry	Classification	Excitation	External fields
QSL	Short range spin order	SU(2) spin rotation symmetry	PSG	Spinon	—
QPL	Short range superfluid order	SU(2) particle-hole symmetry	PSG	Doublon/Holon	Finite density of π flux

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by National Basic Research Program of China (973 Program) under the grant No. 2011CB921803, 2012CB921704 and NFSC Grant No. 11174035.

[1] D. C. Tsui, H.L. Stormer, A. C. Gossard, Physical Review Letters **48**, 1559 (1982).
[2] X. G. Wen, *Quantum Field Theory of Many-Body Systems*, (Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 2004).
[3] X. G. Wen, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B **4**, 239 (1990).
[4] X. G. Wen, Adv. Phys. **44**, 405 (1995).
[5] X. G. Wen, Phys. Rev. B **44**, 2664 (1991).
[6] X. G. Wen, Phys. Rev. B **65**, 165113 (2002).
[7] P. Fazekas and P.W. Anderson, Philos. Mag. **30**, 432 (1974); P. W. Anderson, Science **235**, 1196 (1987).
[8] V.N. Kotov *et al.*, Phil. Mag. B **80**, 1483 (2000).
[9] E. Dagotto and A. Moreo, Phys. Rev. B **39**, 4744 (1989); Phys. Rev. Lett.**63**, 2148, (1989).
[10] K. Sano *et al.*, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **60**, 3807 (1991).
[11] H. J. Schultz and T. A. Ziman, EPL. **18**, 355 (1992).
[12] S. Sorella, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 4558 (1998).
[13] Luca Capriotti, *et al*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 097201 (2001).
[14] C. N. Yang and S. C. Zhang, Mod. Phys. Lett. B **4**, 759 (1990).
[15] N. Read and S. Sachdev, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66**, 1773 (1991).
[16] X. G. Wen, Phys. Rev. B 44, 2664 (1991).
[17] X. G. Wen, Phys. Rev. B **40**, 7387 (1989).
[18] S. P. Kou, X. L. Qi, and Z. Y. Weng, Phys. Rev. B **71**, 235102 (2005).
[19] S. P. Kou, M. Levin, and X. G. Wen, Phys. Rev. B **78**, 155134 (2008).
[20] V. L Berezinskii, Sov. Phys. JETP **34**, 610 (1972).
[21] J. M. Kosterlitz, and D. J. Thouless, J. Phys. C **6**, 1181-1203 (1973).
[22] M. Stone and P. R. Thomas, Phys. Rev. Lett. 41, 351 (1978).
[23] X. G. Wen and A. Zee, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B **4**, 437 (1990).
[24] R. P. Huebener and A. Seher, Phys. Rev. **181**, 701 (1969); Phys. Rev. **181**, 710 (1969).
[25] X. G. Wen, F. Wilczek and A. Zee, Phys. Rev. B **39**, 11413 (1989).
[26] A. N. Redlich, Phys. Rev. Lett. **52,** 18 (1984), Phys. Rev. D **29,** 2366 (1984).
[27] K. Ishikawa and T. Matsuyama, Z. Phys. C **33**, 41 (1986); Nucl. Phys. B **280**, 523 (1987).
[28] In the process of integration of gauge field $\mathbf{a}_+$, we only consider the term quadratic term $\frac{\rho_v}{2m_v}\mathbf{a}_+^2$ for $\mathbf{a}_+$, and negelct the term $\frac{-i(\mathcal{C}N+1)}{4\pi}\mathbf{a}_+\cdot(\mathbf{E}_+\times\mathbf{z})$ due to that this term corresponding to a low energy part.